## For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	REGINALD DICKERSON, LLOYD No. C 08-03773 WHA
11	HALL, BRANDON REED, and HARRISON BROWN,
12	Plaintiffs, NOTICE RE ORAL ARGUMENT
13	v.
14	CAL WASTE SOLUTIONS, JIMMY
15	DUONG, RUTH LIU, OSCAR RAMIREZ, STAN BEALE, RICH
16	GROGAN, and DOES 1–50,
17	Defendants/
18	
19	Counsel should be prepared to address the entitlement of defendants to the fee
20	agreement in the first place. Ordinarily the fee agreement is not relevant to any issue to be tried
21	to a jury and therefore is not discoverable even if it is unprivileged, unless somehow plaintiff
22	has placed it at issue. How if at all has this occurred in the instant case?
23	VII VII II OO OPPEREN
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Win Alma
26	Dated: June 9, 2009.  WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	d